

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Admess CCMMISSIGNER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO BA (145) Alconding August 22313 (450) www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09 845,284 05 01 2001 33.C15333 Chiori Mochizuki 3067

5514

7590

05/23/2003

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER

SEFER, AHMED N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2826

DATE MAILED: 05.23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/845,284	MOCHIZUKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	A. Sefer	2826
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	_	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a reply. If NO period for reply is specified above the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	iys will be considered timely in the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status	- h	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 F</u>		
,	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1.3-11.16-18 and 22-37</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8 16-18 and 22-37		ition.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers	,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)	oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) All b) Some c) None of		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No
3 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	ireau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a manufactor .		
		en j
Attachmentis	·	
1: ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2: ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-949)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413) Paper Nois)
of the second of		

Application Control Number: 09/845,284

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/27/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 5 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Funakoshi et al (JP 9-289181).

Funakoshi et al disclose in figs. 7-14 an image reading apparatus having a plurality of optical sensors formed on a substrate or insulating substrate (as in claim 9) comprising a wire 304 for checking acceptability of cutting of said substrate arranged outside a region where said optical sensors are arranged and on the side where said substrate is cut.

Regarding claim 5. Funakoshi et al disclose (see par. 0085 of equival on US broth)

Application Control Number: 09 845,284

Art Unit: 2826

As to the said wire being used for checking electrical conductivity recited in claim 5, an intended use of a claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

As to claims 10 and 11, Funakoshi et al disclose in fig. 17 a fluorescent wavelength converter Csl.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funakoshi et al.

Funakoshi et al disclose the device structure as recited in the claim, but do not specifically disclose a constant or ground potential. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to some of

Art Unit: 2826

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (703) 605-1227.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601.

ANS May 18, 2003

StiPE::vir